



AI-assisted Theoretical Discovery

YANG-HUI HE

何楊輝

London Institute of Mathematical Sciences, Royal Institution
Merton College, University of Oxford

AI & Theorem Provers, Exeter

Where are we with AI for Mathematical Discovery?



Bottom-Up as a formal logical system

Top-Down as a creative/intuitive art

Meta-Mathematics as a language

Reviews:

YHH: Machine-Learning Mathematical Structures, 2101.06317 *IJMSSDS* 2021

YHH: A Triumvirate of AI Driven Theoretical Discovery, 2405.19973 *Nature Rev. Phys*, 2024

Burtsev, YHH, Sobko, Graepel, Bhattacharya: AI for theoretical discovery *Nature*, to appear.



Proof Co-Pilots



Russell-Whitehead *Principia Mathematica* [1910s] programme (following: Euclid, Leibniz, Frege, ...) to **axiomatize mathematics**
but ...



Russell-Whitehead *Principia Mathematica* [1910s] programme (following: Euclid, Leibniz, Frege, ...) to **axiomatize mathematics**
but ... Gödel [1931] Incompleteness ; Church-Turing [1930s] Undecidability
but ...



Russell-Whitehead *Principia Mathematica* [1910s] programme (following: Euclid, Leibniz, Frege, ...) to **axiomatize mathematics**
but ... **Gödel** [1931] Incompleteness ; **Church-Turing** [1930s] Undecidability
but ... "The practicing mathematician hardly ever worries about Gödel" - MH Kim



Building up from Foundations

Russell-Whitehead *Principia Mathematica* [1910s] programme (following: Euclid, Leibniz, Frege, ...) to **axiomatize mathematics**
but ... Gödel [1931] Incompleteness ; Church-Turing [1930s] Undecidability
but ... "The practicing mathematician hardly ever worries about Gödel" - MH Kim

Automated Theorem Proving (ATP) a long tradition

- Newell-Simon-Shaw [1956] Logical Theory Machine \rightsquigarrow proved some *Principia*
- Type Theory [1970s] Martin-Löf, Coquand
- Univalent Foundation / Homotopy Type Theory [2006-] Voevodsky
- Coq system: 4-color (2005); Feit-Thompson Thm (2012);



- Hilbert, Russel-Whitehead: Formalism (“We must know, we will know”)
- <https://leanprover-community.github.io/mathlib-overview.html>
[Launched 2013 by L. de Moura]
- Buzzard, Commelin, Massot: *Formalising perfectoid spaces* [2019]
- Gowers-Green-Manner-Tao: Freiman-Rusza-Martón Conj [2023]
- Buzzard: ICM 2022: *XenaProject* (2013 - 2023) all of undergraduate maths
- Tao: *Machine-Assisted Proof* 2024



2023- Lean F(ocused) R(earch) O(rganization): 2023 -

towards auto-formalization & the future of formalization

- *Process-Driven Autoformalization in Lean 4*: Lu et al. [2024]; *130k Lines of Formal Topology in Two Weeks*: Urban [2026]

2024- Buzzard's [Formalizing Fermat](#) EPSRC Grant [2024 - 7]

2024- Tooby-Smith's [PhysLean](#)

2025- Buzzard-YHH-Mehta-Hill: [London Lean Monthly](#): Imperial/UCL/LIMS

- Deepmind [AlphaProof](#) (vs. AlphaGeo & AlphaGeo2): incorporates Lean



Experimental Mathematics




AI-guided intuition

- (somewhat) Brouwer: Intuitionism (mathematics is a creation of the mind; no more law of excluded middle $A \vee \neg A$)
- Theoretical physics had never been a bottom-up process
- “Mathematics is a branch of physics where the experiments are cheap”
- V. Arnol'd
- Conjecture Formulation from mathematical data
- M. Douglas: **Platonic Data**

intuition, experience, experimentation

- C19th: Gauss



(w/o computer and before complex analysis [50 years before Hadamard-de la Vallée-Poussin's proof]): PNT $\pi(x) \sim x / \log(x)$

- C20th: BSD computer experiment of Birch & Swinnerton-Dyer [1960's] on plots of rank r & N_p on elliptic curves
- 2 / 6 remaining Millennium Prize Problems (RH, BSD) came from experimentation



- **Original Motivation:** Plethora (10^N possible vacuum solutions in string theory compactifications), computationally prohibitive; **Calabi-Yau manifolds**
- A typical calculation:

$$X = \left(\begin{array}{cccccccc} 1 & 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \end{array} \right) \longrightarrow \text{What Bourbaki teaches us} \longrightarrow h^{2,1}(X) = 22$$

- [YHH 1706.02714] Deep-Learning the Landscape, *PLB* 774, 2017; (cf. Feature in *Science*, Aug, vol 365 issue 6452, 2019): think of it as an image processing problem



$$\longrightarrow \text{What Machine-Learning teaches us} \longrightarrow 22$$

Thank you! Since 2017-



fantastic students

Jiakang Bao, Elli Heyes, Ed Hirst, Tejas Acharya, Daatta Aggrawal, Malik Amir, Kieran Bull, Lucille Calmon, Siqi Chen, Suvajit Majumder, Maks Manko, Toby Peterken, Juan Pérez-Ipiña, Max Sharnoff, Yan Xiao

wonderful collaborators

Physics: Guillermo Arias-Tamargo, David Berman, Heng-Yu Chen, Andrei Constantin, Sebastián Franco, Vishnu Jejjala, Seung-Joo Lee, Andre Lukas, Shailesh Lal, Brent Nelson, Diego Rodriguez-Gomez, Zaid Zaz

Algebraic Geometry: Anthony Ashmore, Challenger Mishra, Rehan Deen, Burt Ovrut



Number Theory: Laura Alessandretti, Andrea Baronchelli, Kyu-Hwan Lee, Tom Oliver, Alexey Pozdnyakov, Drew Sutherland, Eldar Sultanow

Representation Theory: Mandy Cheung, Pierre Dechant, Minhyong Kim, Jianrong Li, Gregg Musiker

Combinatorics: Johannes Hofscheier, Alexander Kasprzyk, Shiing-Tung Yau


2018: Springer, Lecture Notes in Maths 2293

2020: World Scientific, editorial



BUY THESE BOOKS... 😊😊😊

A Growing Field






AI4Maths Annual Series

- 2017 - String_Data (Krippendorf, Mishra, Halverson, Ruehle, Grimm, Hashimoto, Gukov, YHH et al)
- 2020 - DANGER (Kasprzyk, Hirst, Heyes, Oliver, Lee, YHH)
- 2021- Math-AI @ NeurIPS
- 2024 - ICMS, Harvard (Douglas et al)
- 2024 - AI for Math Workshop @ ICML
- 2025 - AI4x @ NUS Singapore
- 2026- AI for Math Discovery Series@LIMS (YHH-Sobko, Cogna & Nebius)

The Birch Test: “AI + N” @ “AI”

With Buzzard, Cardoso, Klemm, Nampuri, et al, inspired by a talk by Birch, we (half-jokingly) formulated the *Birch Test* (cf. chatGPT passed Turing test in 2022)

YHH, M. Burtsev, *Nature*, Jan 2024.



Programme theme

Defining a theory of quantum gravity remains one of the most challenging problems at the cutting edge of research in mathematical and theoretical physics. Unlocking this problem implies constructing a quantum field theoretic description of gravity which fully elucidates how a quantum field theory encodes gravitational spacetime background actions.

Much of the progress in shaping the language and the framework of the problem comes to genesis to a specific subset of problems in quantum gravity, namely those dealing with understanding the organization of information in black holes.

These problems in turn can be neatly divided into two streams of research, each dealing with a different class of black holes as systems of interest:

1. The enumeration of the quantum necessities of a special class of black holes, called BPS black holes, in separating branches through Minkowski forms and automorphic forms. This has led to uncovering a cornucopia of exciting connections between string theory and mathematical structures in the fields of number theory, finite group theory and algebraic geometry, such as the relation of BPS black holes and Minkowski forms, the relation of Moonshine and the $K3$ elliptic genus, and produced significant new results in the theory of automorphic forms.



Organisers

- Imperial College University of Cambridge
- Robert de Niro, Fudan University
- Yungang He, Institute for Mathematics and its Applications, Simon Fraser University
- Carlos Oprea, Centre for Quantum Mathematics, University of Toronto
- Sander Murray, King's College London
- Sander Nampuri, Institute for Quantum Studies, University of Waterloo
- Lenny Tamarkin, University of Toronto

The Birch Test: “AI + N” @ “AI”

With Buzzard, Cardoso, Klemm, Nampuri, et al, inspired by a talk by Birch, we (half-jokingly) formulated the *Birch Test* (cf. chatGPT passed Turing test in 2022)

YHH, M. Burtsev, *Nature*, Jan 2024.

- (Automaticity) be generated by AI



Programme theme

Defining a theory of quantum gravity remains one of the most challenging problems at the cutting edge of research in mathematical and theoretical physics. Unlocking this problem implies constructing a quantum field theoretic description of gravity which fully elucidates how a quantum field theory encodes gravitational spacetime background actions.

Much of the progress in shaping the language and the framework of the problem lies in generalising to a specific subset of problems in quantum gravity, namely those dealing with understanding the organisation of information in black holes.

These problems in turn can be neatly divided into two streams of research, each dealing with a different class of black holes as systems of interest:

1. The enumeration of the quantum microstates of a special class of black holes, called BPS black holes, in supersymmetric theories through black modular forms and automorphic forms. This has led to uncovering a cornucopia of exciting connections between string theory and mathematical structures in the fields of number theory, finite group theory and algebraic geometry, such as the relation of BPS black holes and black modular forms, the relation of Moonshine and the $K3$ elliptic genus, and produced significant new results in the theory of automorphic forms.




Organisers

- Imperial College University of Cambridge
- Robert de Niro, Fudan University
- Yungui He, London Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Simon College
- Galileo Galilei, Cambridge Institute for Mathematical Sciences, Trinity College
- Sander Murray, King's College London
- Sander Nampuri, Institute for Quantum Studies, Trinity College
- Lenny Tamarkin, University of Toronto

The Birch Test: “AI + N” @ “AI”

With Buzzard, Cardoso, Klemm, Nampuri, et al, inspired by a talk by Birch, we (half-jokingly) formulated the *Birch Test* (cf. chatGPT passed Turing test in 2022)

YHH, M. Burtsev, *Nature*, Jan 2024.



Programme theme

Defining a theory of quantum gravity remains one of the most challenging problems at the cutting edge of research in mathematical and theoretical physics. Unlocking this problem implies constructing a quantum field theoretic description of gravity which fully elucidates how a quantum field theory encodes gravitational spacetime background actions.

Much of the progress in shaping the language and the framework of the problem comes to genesis to a specific subset of problems in quantum gravity, namely those dealing with understanding the organization of information in black holes.

These problems in turn can be readily divided into two streams of research, each dealing with a different class of black holes as systems of interest:

1. The enumeration of the quantum necessities of a special class of black holes, called BPS black holes, in separating branches through Minkowski modular forms and automorphic forms. This has led to uncovering a cornucopia of exciting connections between string theory and enumerative structures in the fields of number theory, finite group theory and algebraic geometry, such as the relation of BPS black holes and Minkowski modular forms, the relation of Moonshine and the McKay quiver, and produced significant new results in the theory of automorphic forms.



Organisers


- Imperial College University of Cambridge
- Institut für Mathematik, Johannes Kepler Universität Linz
- King's College London
- Cambridge University, Cambridge
- University of Cambridge
- Queen Mary University of London
- Queen Mary University of London
- Queen Mary University of London
- Queen Mary University of London
- Queen Mary University of London

- (Automaticity) be generated by AI
- (Interpretability) concrete enough to be a conjecture

The Birch Test: “AI + N” @ “AI”

With Buzzard, Cardoso, Klemm, Nampuri, et al, inspired by a talk by Birch, we (half-jokingly) formulated the *Birch Test* (cf. chatGPT passed Turing test in 2022)

YHH, M. Burtsev, *Nature*, Jan 2024.



Programme theme

Defining a theory of quantum gravity remains one of the most challenging problems at the cutting edge of research in mathematical and theoretical physics. Unravelling this problem implies considering a quantum field theoretic description of gravity which fully elucidates how a quantum field theory encodes gravitational spacetime background actions.

Much of the progress in shaping the language and the framework of the problem lies in generalising to a specific subset of problems in quantum gravity, namely those dealing with understanding the organisation of information in black holes.

These problems in turn can be neatly divided into two streams of research, each dealing with a different class of black holes as systems of interest:

1. The enumeration of the quantum microstates of a special class of black holes, called BPS black holes, in supersymmetric theories through Minkowski modular forms and automorphic forms. This has led to uncovering a conjectured exciting connection between string theory and enumerative structures in the fields of number theory, finite group theory and algebraic geometry, such as the relation of BPS black holes and Minkowski modular forms, the relation of Moonshine and the McKay quiver, and produced significant new results in the theory of automorphic forms.



Organisers

- Imperial College University of Cambridge
- Institut für Mathematik, Ludwig-Maximilians-Universität München
- King's College London
- Mathematics Institute, University of Warwick
- Queen Mary University of London
- Queen's University Belfast
- University of Oxford
- University of York

- (Automaticity) be generated by AI
- (Interpretability) concrete enough to be a conjecture
- (Non-Triviality) for the community to work on it



Closest Examples

2022 new knot invariants relations from saliency [Davies et al] Deepmind (fails N)

2022 Murmuration Conjectures (fails A) [YHH-Lee-Oliver-Podznyakov, 2022, YHH-Lee-Oliver-Podznyakov-Sutherland, tbc] A patten in L-functions reflecting Chebyshev's bias in the primes

2025 Discovering Singularities [Wang et al, DeepMind] (fails A)

2026 (falls N)

- Aristole@HarmonicMath; Aletheia@DeepMind 2601.22401 Erdos 13/700
- AlphaEvolve@DeepMind 2511.02864: 23/67 problems,
- AxiomAI \Rightarrow 4 (small) open problems
- Gemini \Rightarrow FirstProof 6/10

2022 - YHH, Kyu-Hwan Lee, Tom Oliver, Alexey Pozdnyakov (2204.10140),
Experimental Mathematics, Volume 34, 2025 - Issue 3



Quanta Feature 2024:



Cheryl Frances-Hoad

Saxophone Concerto (2024) (Three Mathematical Diversions)

Chester Music Ltd (World)


March 26 – 28, 2026



- I - *The search for ein stein*
- II - *Elliptic murmurations*
- III - *The theories of everything*

ajo

**Momentum Ensemble:
Beautiful Equations**



- \sim 1 Terabyte free online
- Finite Groups: [GAP](#)
- Algebraic Geometry: [GrDB](#) , [CY Databases](#)
- Number Theory: [ImfDB](#)
- Knot Theory: [SnaPY](#)
- ...



A mathematician, like a painter or a poet, is a maker of patterns. If his patterns are more permanent than theirs, it is because they are made with ideas...

G. Hardy, A Mathematician's Apology



A mathematician, like a painter or a poet, is a maker of patterns. If his patterns are more permanent than theirs, it is because they are made with ideas...

G. Hardy, A Mathematician's Apology

One (only?) sure thing that AI can do better than humans is **pattern detection**.



Pattern Recognition: Human Eye

- $[0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, \dots]$



2017 (YHH) Binary Classification of a Binary Vector (sliding window of, say, length 100); supervised learning: predict next one, e.g., Prime/Not becomes:

$\{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, \dots, 0\}$	\rightarrow	1
$\{1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 1\}$	\rightarrow	0
$\{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0\}$	\rightarrow	1
...

Machine-Learning of Platonic Data: Since 2017

2017 (YHH) Binary Classification of a Binary Vector (sliding window of, say, length 100); supervised learning: predict next one, e.g., Prime/Not becomes:

$$\begin{array}{rcl}
 \{0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, \dots, 0\} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 \{1, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, \dots, 1\} & \longrightarrow & 0 \\
 \{0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 1, 0, 0, 0, 0, 1, 1, 0, 0, \dots, 0\} & \longrightarrow & 1 \\
 \dots & & \dots \quad \dots
 \end{array}$$

- pass to standard classifiers: SVW, Bayes, Nearest Neighbour; NN of the form $\mathbb{R}^{100} \xrightarrow{\text{linear}} \mathbb{R}^{20} \xrightarrow{\tanh} \mathbb{R}^{20} \xrightarrow{\text{Round}} \sum \mathbb{Z}$, your kitchen sink, ...
- take 50,000 samples, 20-80 cross-validation, record (precision, MCC)
- similar performance for most: Mod3: **(1.0, 1.0)**; PrimeQ, after balancing: **(0.8, 0.6)**; Liouville Λ : **(0.5, 0.001)**



LLMs @ Math



Rapid Progress

2022 ChatGPT passes the Turing Test

2023 DeepMind's [FunSearch](#), Meta-AI's [LLama](#)


2024 DeepMind's [AlphaGeometry](#), [AlphaGeometry2](#),

2024-5 [AlphaProof](#) (incorporates Lean)

2025 [AlphaEvolve](#) (tailored for optimization problems)

2025-6 [AxiomAI](#), [Aristotel@Harmonic](#), [GaussAI@MathInc](#), [Aletheia@Deepmind](#),
[Archon@Rethlas](#) (incorporate Lean)


July 2025: AI \simeq 12 year old Terry Tao



Graph showing performance of our AI system relative to human competitors at IMO 2024. We earned 28 out of 42 total points, achieving the same level as a silver medalist in the competition.

Terence Tao, 28 points - Silver Medal;
AlphaGeo2, 28 points - Silver Medal (2024),
35 points - Gold (2025)

July 2025: AI \simeq 12 year old Terry Tao




Graph showing performance of our AI system relative to human competitors at IMO 2024. We earned 28 out of 42 total points, achieving the same level as a silver medalist in the competition.

Terence Tao, 28 points - Silver Medal;
AlphaGeo2, 28 points - Silver Medal (2024),
35 points - Gold (2025)

- but ... **FontierMath** from EpochAI: only 2% on advanced problems, Dec 2024

July 2025: AI \simeq 12 year old Terry Tao




Graph showing performance of our AI system relative to human competitors at IMO 2024. We earned 28 out of 42 total points, achieving the same level as a silver medalist in the competition.

Terence Tao, 28 points - Silver Medal; AlphaGeo2, 28 points - Silver Medal (2024), 35 points - Gold (2025)

- but ... **FrontierMath** from EpochAI: only 2% on advanced problems, Dec 2024
- but ... Mar 2025, **Tier 1-3**, 10-25% of 125 + 75 + 100 graduate-level problems solved <https://epoch.ai/frontiermath/>

July 2025: AI \simeq 12 year old Terry Tao



Graph showing performance of our AI system relative to human competitors at IMO 2024. We earned 28 out of 42 total points, achieving the same level as a silver medalist in the competition.

Terence Tao, 28 points - Silver Medal; AlphaGeo2, 28 points - Silver Medal (2024), 35 points - Gold (2025)

- but ... **FrontierMath** from EpochAI: only 2% on advanced problems, Dec 2024
- but ... Mar 2025, **Tier 1-3**, 10-25% of 125 + 75 + 100 graduate-level problems solved <https://epoch.ai/frontiermath/>
- and May 2025, 30 of us → Berkeley HQ for **Tier 4** (Oct 2025, 10%)

Scientific American



Financial Times





Problem Solution

Define functions $F(z)$ and $G(z)$ on the upper-half of the complex plane by

$$F(z) := 1 + \sum_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{e^{2\pi i n^2 z}}{\prod_{j=1}^n (1 + e^{2\pi i j z})^2} \quad \text{and}$$

$$G(z) := \prod_{n=1}^{\infty} \frac{(1 - e^{2\pi i n z})(1 - e^{2\pi i (2n-1)z})}{(1 + e^{2\pi i n z})}.$$

Let ℓ_1 be the smallest prime for which all of the following hold:

- $D_{\ell_1} := -\ell_1$ is the discriminant of the ring of integers of $\mathbb{Q}(\sqrt{-\ell_1})$.
- The class number $h(D_{\ell_1})$ of this quadratic field is a prime number $\ell_2 \geq 5$.
- The class $\ell_2 \pmod{\ell_1}$ is a primitive root of the multiplicative group $(\mathbb{Z}/\ell_1\mathbb{Z})^\times$.
- The Mordell-Weil group over \mathbb{Q} of the elliptic curve

$$E(\mathbb{Q}) : Y^2 = X^3 - \ell_1^2 X$$

is $\mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/2\mathbb{Z}$.

With this pair of primes (ℓ_1, ℓ_2) , we define the algebraic number α by the limit

$$\alpha := \lim_{y \rightarrow 0^+} \left(F\left(\frac{\ell_1}{4\ell_2} + iy\right) - G\left(\frac{\ell_1}{4\ell_2} + iy\right) + \frac{F\left(\frac{\ell_1}{4\ell_2} + iy\right)}{G\left(\frac{\ell_1}{4\ell_2} + iy\right)} - \frac{G\left(\frac{\ell_1}{4\ell_2} + iy\right)}{F\left(\frac{\ell_1}{4\ell_2} + iy\right)} \right).$$

If $P_\alpha(X) \in \mathbb{Q}[X]$ is its *minimal polynomial* (i.e. the monic irreducible polynomial with $P_\alpha(\alpha) = 0$) and K_α is its *splitting field* (a.k.a. the *normal closure* of $P_\alpha(X)$), then compute

$$\Omega := \frac{1}{[K_\alpha : \mathbb{Q}]} \cdot (P_\alpha(\ell_1) + P_\alpha(\ell_2))^{\ell_2 - 1}.$$

Subject: Analytic and algebraic number theory, quantum modular forms

Technique: Class numbers, elliptic curves, harmonic Maass forms, cyclotomic fields

Answer: 73948492097301691765464030714938921180352979774791349212169829996615700482.

SAMPLE
Problem



- STANDARD: Proof by contradiction, induction, syllogism



- STANDARD: Proof by contradiction, induction, syllogism
- IN PRACTICE: Proof by intimidation, authority, citation, obfuscation, vibe
- ...



- STANDARD: Proof by contradiction, induction, syllogism
- IN PRACTICE: Proof by intimidation, authority, citation, obfuscation, vibe
...
- LLMs: by citation, by authority



- STANDARD: Proof by contradiction, induction, syllogism
- IN PRACTICE: Proof by intimidation, authority, citation, obfuscation, vibe
...
- LLMs: by citation, by authority


Proof by vibe

- UK's only indep research institute for maths; after IAS, Princeton est. 2011 Thomas Fink
- Housed in Faraday Suites of Royal Institution of GB
- **1 of 4 themes: AI for Maths Discovery**
<https://lims.ac.uk/event/ai-assisted-maths-discovery/>
- Nebius AI for Theory series: launch 2026
- Apply to our Cognia AI/Math JRF
- **March 3, 2026** secured a 20M pledge of our E6 (dim = 78 \$ ~ 60£) campaign




AI-guided theoretical/mathematical Discovery

YHH: 2405.19973 *Nature Rev. Phys*, 2024:



The Future of Maths: I

The Future of Mathematical Research



THANK YOU




THANK YOU



Perhaps the Future is Now

Perhaps the Future is Now Headlines in the last 2 months:

Erdos Problems



AxiomAI



OpenAI Just Solved 5 of 10 'Impossible' Math Problems

FirstProof








Initial Motivation: A Classic Problem (since 1736)

- Trichotomy classification of (connected compact orientable) surfaces Σ

Euler: topological classification of $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} = 2$ Euler number $\chi(\Sigma)$, genus $g(\Sigma)$

Gauss: relates topology to metric geometry

Riemann: complexify \leadsto Riemann surfaces or complex curves: $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} = 1$

					...
$g(\Sigma) = 0$	$g(\Sigma) = 1$	$g(\Sigma) > 1$			
$\chi(\Sigma) = 2$	$\chi(\Sigma) = 0$	$\chi(\Sigma) < 0$			
Spherical	Ricci-Flat	Hyperbolic			
+ curvature	0 curvature	- curvature			



Classical Results for Riemann Surface Σ

$\chi(\Sigma) = 2 - 2g(\Sigma) =$	$= [c_1(\Sigma)] \cdot [\Sigma] =$	$= \frac{1}{2\pi} \int_{\Sigma} R =$	$= \sum_{i=0}^2 (-1)^i h^i(\Sigma)$
Topology	Algebraic Geometry	Differential Geometry	Index Theorem (co-)Homology
Invariants	Characteristic classes	Curvature	Betti Numbers

Going up in Complex Dimension

- $\dim_{\mathbb{R}} > 2$ manifolds extremely complicated
- Luckily, for a special class of complex manifolds called **Kähler**

$$g_{\mu\bar{\nu}} = \partial_{\mu}\partial_{\bar{\nu}}K(z, \bar{z})$$

all Σ in $\dim_{\mathbb{C}} = 1$ automatically Kähler

- **CONJECTURE [E. Calabi, 1954, 1957]:** M compact Kähler manifold (g, ω) and $([R] = [c_1(M)])_{H^{1,1}(M)}$.
Then $\exists!(\tilde{g}, \tilde{\omega})$ such that $([\omega] = [\tilde{\omega}])_{H^2(M; \mathbb{R})}$ and $Ricci(\tilde{\omega}) = R$.

Rmk: $c_1(M) = 0 \Leftrightarrow$ Ricci-flat (rmk: Ricci-flat familiar to physicists through GR)

- **THEOREM [S-T Yau, 1977-8; Fields 1982]** Existence Proof



Complex, Ricci-flat (vacuum Einstein) manifolds with $g_{\mu\bar{\nu}}$ coming from a potential

- String Pheno [[Candelas-Horowitz-Strominger-Witten](#)] Nuc Phys B 1985

$$10 = 4 + 6$$

Coined the term “Calabi-Yau”, and began the perhaps the largest dialogue between theoretical physics and algebraic geometry for the past 1/2 century



Complex, Ricci-flat (vacuum Einstein) manifolds with $g_{\mu\bar{\nu}}$ coming from a potential

- String Pheno [Candelas-Horowitz-Strominger-Witten] Nuc Phys B 1985

$$10 = 4 + 6$$

Coined the term “Calabi-Yau”, and began the perhaps the largest dialogue between theoretical physics and algebraic geometry for the past 1/2 century

- elsewhere
 - Space of vacua of electro-weak sector of MSSM is Calabi-Yau cone ([He-Jejjala-Matti-Nelson] PLB 2014)
 - Ordinary ϕ^4 , L-loop Feynman integral \rightsquigarrow integration over Calab-Yau $(L - 1)$ -fold [Bourjaily-He-McLeod-von Hippel-Wilhelm] PRL 2018


Explicit Examples of Calabi-Yau Spaces

An interesting sequence: 1, 2, ??? ...


$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} = 1$ Torus $T^2 = S^1 \times S^1$



$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} = 2$ (1) 4-Torus $T^4 = S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1 \times S^1$
 (2) K3 surface



$\dim_{\mathbb{C}} = 3$ Billions Known (Candelas, de la Ossa, Lutken, Krezuer, Skarke ...)
 ???





The String Landscape

Perhaps the biggest theoretical challenge to string theory:

selection criterion??? metric on the landscape???

- Douglas (2003): Statistics of String vacua
- Kachru-Kalosh-Linde-Trivedi (2003): type II/CY estimates of 10^{500}
- Taylor-YN Wang (2015-7): F-theory estimates 10^{3000} to 10^{10^5}
- Basic Reason: Algebraic Geometry \rightsquigarrow Combinatorial Geometry \rightsquigarrow Exp Growth in dim

Even in the CY landscape:

- compact + hyper-surface in toric variety: 1, 16, 4319, 473800776, ???
- Conjecture [Yau]: finite topological type for CYn [Back to ML for Maths](#)

Computing Hodge Numbers: Sketch

- Recall Hodge decomposition $H^{p,q}(X) \simeq H^q(X, \wedge^p T^*X) \rightsquigarrow$

$$H^{1,1}(X) = H^1(X, T_X^*), \quad H^{2,1}(X) \simeq H^{1,2} = H^2(X, T_X^*) \simeq H^1(X, T_X)$$

- Euler Sequence** for subvariety $X \subset A$ is short exact:


$$0 \rightarrow T_X \rightarrow T_M|_X \rightarrow N_X \rightarrow 0$$

- Induces **long exact sequence in cohomology**:


$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \rightarrow & \overset{0}{\cancel{H^0(X, T_X)}} & \rightarrow & H^0(X, T_A|_X) & \rightarrow & H^0(X, N_X) \rightarrow \\ & & \boxed{H^1(X, T_X)} & \xrightarrow{d} & H^1(X, T_A|_X) & \rightarrow & H^1(X, N_X) \rightarrow \\ & & H^2(X, T_X) & \rightarrow & \dots & & \end{array}$$

- Need to compute $\text{Rk}(d)$, cohomology and $H^i(X, T_A|_X)$ (Cf. Hübsch)

The Neural Network Approach

- Bijection from  to $\{1, 2, \dots, 9, 0\}$?
- Take large sample, take a few hundred thousand (e.g. NIST database)

$6 \rightarrow 6, 8 \rightarrow 8, 2 \rightarrow 2, 4 \rightarrow 4, 8 \rightarrow 8, 7 \rightarrow 7, 8 \rightarrow 8,$
 $0 \rightarrow 0, 4 \rightarrow 4, 2 \rightarrow 2, 5 \rightarrow 5, 6 \rightarrow 6, 3 \rightarrow 3, 2 \rightarrow 2,$
 $9 \rightarrow 9, 0 \rightarrow 0, 3 \rightarrow 3, 8 \rightarrow 8, 8 \rightarrow 8, 1 \rightarrow 1, 0 \rightarrow 0,$



- Data = Training Data \sqcup Validation Data
 Test trained NN on validations data to see accuracy performance

Universal Approximation Theorems

Large Depth Thm: (Cybenko-Hornik) For every continuous function $f : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$, every compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^d$, and every $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a continuous function $f_\epsilon : \mathbb{R}^d \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^D$ such that $f_\epsilon = W_2(\sigma(W_1))$, where σ is a fixed continuous function, $W_{1,2}$ affine transformations and composition appropriately defined, so that $\sup_{x \in K} |f(x) - f_\epsilon(x)| < \epsilon$.

Large Width Thm: (Kidger-Lyons) Consider a feed-forward NN with n input neurons, m output neuron and an arbitrary number of hidden layers each with $n + m + 2$ neurons, such that every hidden neuron has activation function φ and every output neuron has activation function the identity. Then, given any vector-valued function f from a compact subset $K \subset \mathbb{R}^m$, and any $\epsilon > 0$, one can find an F , a NN of the above type, so that $|F(x) - f(x)| < \epsilon$ for all $x \in K$.

ReLU Thm: (Hanin) For any Lebesgue-integral function $f : \mathbb{R}^n \rightarrow \mathbb{R}$ and any $\epsilon > 0$, there exists a fully connected ReLU NN F with width of all layers less than $n + 4$ such that $\int_{\mathbb{R}^n} |f(x) - F(x)| dx < \epsilon$.

[Back to NN@Alg Geo](#)



A minute waltz on BSD

Diophantine Equations (rational \mathbb{Q} solutions to polynomials)

- quadratic (Pythagoras) $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, many e.g. $(x, y) = (\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$

A minute waltz on BSD

Diophantine Equations (rational \mathbb{Q} solutions to polynomials)

- quadratic (Pythagoras) $x^2 + y^2 = 1$, many e.g. $(x, y) = (\frac{3}{5}, \frac{4}{5})$
- cubic (Elliptic Curve) HARD!!!!
 - e.g., $E : x^3 + y^2 = 1$ (Thm: **Weierstrass form** $y^2 = x^3 - g_2x - g_4$)
 - has enormous implications: e.g. Fermat's Last Theorem
 - Thm: $E(\mathbb{Q}) \simeq \mathbb{Z}^r \times Z_{tor}$ (**r rank** = # infinite families of solutions)
 - Work modulo prime p , e.g., $2^3 + 4^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{23}$, $3^3 + 2^2 \equiv 1 \pmod{5}$
 - **Euler Coefficients** $a_p = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_p)$

- E an elliptic curve, local zeta-function & L-function:

$$Z(E/\mathbb{F}_p; T) = \exp\left(\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{\#E(\mathbb{F}_{p^k})T^k}{k}\right) = \frac{L_p(E, T)}{(1-T)(1-pT)} ;$$

$$L_p(E, T) = 1 - a_p T + pT^2; \quad a_p = p + 1 - \#E(\mathbb{F}_p).$$

Fix N and define vector $v_L(E) = (a_{p_1}, \dots, a_{p_N}) \in \mathbb{Z}^N$;

$\sim 10^5$ balanced data from www.lmfdb.org; 50-50 cross validation.

- **Labeled data:** $v_L(E) \longrightarrow$ rank, torsion, ... ([Birch-Swinnerton-Dyer:])

$$L(E, s) := \prod_p L^{-1}(E, T := p^{-s}); \quad \frac{L^{(r)}(E, 1)}{r!} \stackrel{???}{=} \frac{|\text{III}| \Omega \text{Reg} \prod_p c_p}{(\#E(\mathbb{Q})_{\text{tors}})^2},$$

r =rank; III =Shafarevich group; Reg =regulator; c_p =Tamagawa; tors =Torsion

A simple PCA!

Q: YHH, Lee, Oliver, Pozdnyakov on HLOP results from 2020 - 22: [WHY is ML so good](#) at telling ranks apart by looking at a_p coefficients?? e.g., PCA:




Figure 2: A plot of PC1 (x -axis) against PC2 (y -axis) for elliptic curves in the balanced dataset of 36,000 randomly chosen elliptic curves with rank $r_E \in \{0, 1, 2\}$ and conductor $N_E \in [10000, 40000]$. The blue (resp. red, green) points are the images of the vectors $v_L(E)$ corresponding to the elliptic curves in our dataset with rank 0 (resp. 1, 2) under a map $\mathbb{R}^{1000} \rightarrow \mathbb{R}^2$ constructed using PCA.

Murmuration function

construct a **vertical average**
 (rank r , conductor range
 $[N_1, N_2]$, n -th prime p_n)

$$f_r(n) := \frac{1}{\#\mathcal{E}_r[N_1, N_2]} \sum_{E \in \mathcal{E}_r[N_1, N_2]} a_{p_n}(E)$$




Figure 1: (Top) Plots of the functions $f_0(n)$ (blue) and $f_1(n)$ (red) for $1 \leq n \leq 1000$ and $[N_1, N_2] = [7500, 10000]$. (Bottom) Plots of the functions $f_0(n)$ (blue) and $f_2(n)$ (green) for $1 \leq n \leq 1000$ and $[N_1, N_2] = [5000, 10000]$. Further details are given in Example 1.



Murmurations: An interesting Phenomenon

- To appear [HLOP + Sutherland]
 - Does not work if ordered by height (Weierstrass coef)
 - Take dyadic conductor range: $[N^x, N^{x+1}]$: **scale invariant** (indep of x)
 - Taking more data ($10^7 \sim 8$) at high N : converges to oscillatory curve
- A General Phenomenon that reflects **biases in distribution of primes**
 - L-function for Dirichlet characters (Lee-Oliver-Podznyakov 2023)
 - Zubrilina, Cowan: for weight 2 modular forms (2023)
 - conference at ICERM in July
<https://icerm.brown.edu/events/htw-23-ma/>



Important Lesson: HYBRID human-AI math

Importance of Representation

(Alessandretti-Baronchelli-YHH 1911.02008, [New Scientist feature 2019](#) used Weierstrass coefficients of elliptic curves: useless in predicting any of the BSD quantities
needed insights from Oliver+Lee to use a_p coefficients

Importance of Human Interpretation

[Murmurations of elliptic curves](#): YHH, Lee, Oliver, Pozdnyakov, 2204.10140
A new mathematical phenomenon [Back to AI for Maths](#)

Q: is deviation from random distribution a measure of ML of mathematical structure?